

EXAMPLE OF A 6-BY-6 MATRIX WITH DIFFERENT TROPICAL AND KAPRANOV RANKS

YAROSLAV SHITOV

ABSTRACT. We provide an example of a 6-by-6 matrix A such that $rk_t(A) = 4$, $rk_K(A) = 5$. This answers a question asked by M. Chan, A. Jensen, and E. Rubei.

KEYWORDS: matrix theory, tropical semiring, tropical rank, Kapranov rank.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A03, 15A15.

1 Introduction

We work over the *tropical semiring* $(\mathbb{R}, \oplus, \otimes)$ whose operations are

$$a \oplus b = \min\{a, b\}, \quad a \otimes b = a + b.$$

We consider *tropical matrices*, i.e. matrices over the tropical semiring. There exist many different ways to define the rank of a tropical matrix, see [1, 4]. We deal with the notions of tropical rank and Kapranov rank, see also [3, 5].

Definition 1.1. We define the *permanent* of a tropical matrix $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as

$$\text{perm}(S) = \min_{\sigma \in S_n} \{s_{1,\sigma(1)} + \dots + s_{n,\sigma(n)}\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.2. The matrix S is called *tropically singular* if the minimum in (1.1) is attained at least twice. Otherwise, S is called *tropically non-singular*.

Definition 1.3. The *tropical rank* of a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ is the largest integer r such that M has a tropically non-singular r -by- r submatrix. We denote the tropical rank of M by $rk_t(M)$.

Let $\mathbf{K}$ denote the field whose elements are formal sums

$$a(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i t^{\alpha_i} \text{ such that } a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = +\infty.$$

Let $\deg : \mathbf{K}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a natural valuation sending $a(t)$ to the least of the exponents α_i , i.e. $\deg(a) = \min_{n: a_n \neq 0} \{\alpha_n\}$. By definition, assume $\deg(0) = \infty$. We say that $B \in \mathbf{K}^{m \times n}$ is a *lift* of $T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ if $\deg(b_{ij}) = t_{ij}$ for any i, j . The notion of the Kapranov rank of a matrix can be defined in the following way, see [4, Corollary 3.4].

Definition 1.4. Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. We define the Kapranov rank of M as

$$rk_K(M) = \min_{\mathcal{K}_M} \{rank(\mathcal{K}_M)\},$$

where the minimum is taken over all lifts of M . The expression $rank(\mathcal{K}_M)$ means the usual rank of a matrix $\mathcal{K}_M$ over the field $\mathbf{K}$.

The notion of Kapranov rank was deeply investigated in [3, 4, 5]. Develin, Santos, and Sturmfels in [4] show that $rk_K(M) \geq rk_t(M)$ for every matrix M . The following theorem points out the connection with matroids.

Theorem 1.5. [4, Corollary 7.4] *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a matroid which is not representable over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the Kapranov and tropical ranks of the cocircuit matrix $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M})$ are different.*

Theorem 1.5 makes it possible to construct examples of matrices with different tropical and Kapranov ranks. The example of a 7-by-7 matrix with different ranks is provided in [4].

Kim and Roush in [5] mostly deal with algorithmical aspects of the Kapranov rank. They prove that determining Kapranov rank of tropical matrices is NP-hard. Also, in [5] it was shown that there exist matrices of tropical rank 3 and arbitrarily high Kapranov rank.

The following theorem was proven in [3].

Theorem 1.6. [3, Corollary 1.5] *Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\min\{m, n\} \leq 5$. Then $rk_K(M) = rk_t(M)$.*

Chan, Jensen, and Rubei in [3] point out the connection with the notion of tropical basis. They ask the following question.

Question 1.7. [3, Question 1.1] *For which numbers d , n , and r do the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ -minors of a d -by- n matrix form a tropical basis? Equivalently, for which d , n , r does every d -by- n matrix of tropical rank at most r have Kapranov rank at most r ?*

In [3] the following conjecture was also made.

Conjecture 1.8. [3, Conjecture 1.6] *The $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors of a d -by- n matrix are a tropical basis if and only if either $r \leq 2$ or $r \geq \min\{d, n\} - 2$.*

Also, in [3] it was asked whether there exists a 6-by-6 matrix with different tropical and Kapranov ranks. We answer this question by providing an example of a 6-by-6 matrix with tropical rank 4 and Kapranov rank 5.

Now let us take into account the equivalence given in Question 1.7. Our example shows that the 5-by-5 minors of a 6-by-6 matrix are not a tropical basis. Thus we disprove Conjecture 1.8.

Additionally, we note that the difference between the tropical and Kapranov ranks of our matrix does not have a matroidal nature. Indeed, matroids with at most 6 elements are all representable over $\mathbb{C}$, see [2].

2 The Example

Example 2.1. *Let*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $rk_t(A) = 4$, $rk_K(A) = 5$.

Proof. 1. Note that every 5-by-5 submatrix of A can be written in some of the following forms (up to permutations of rows and columns):

$$S' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s'_{12} & s'_{13} & s'_{14} & s'_{15} \\ s'_{21} & 0 & 0 & s'_{24} & s'_{25} \\ s'_{31} & 0 & 0 & s'_{34} & s'_{35} \\ s'_{41} & s'_{42} & s'_{43} & 0 & 0 \\ s'_{51} & s'_{52} & s'_{53} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & x & 4 & y & 4 \\ s''_{31} & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\ s''_{41} & 0 & 0 & z & 4 \\ s''_{51} & s''_{52} & s''_{53} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x, y, z \in \{1, 2\}$, $s'_{ij}, s''_{ij} \in \{1, 2, 4\}$. By Definition 1.1, $perm(S') = 0$. The minimum in (1.1) for S' is given by $id, (23) \in \mathcal{S}_5$. Analogously, $perm(S'') = y$, the minimum is given by $(24), (243) \in \mathcal{S}_5$. Thus by Definition 1.2, every

5×5 -submatrix of A is tropically singular. From Definition 1.3 it follows that $rk_t(A) \leq 4$.

Now consider the 4-by-4 submatrix which is formed by the 1st, 2nd, 4th, and 6th rows and the 1st, 4th, 5th, and 6th columns of A :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The minimum in the expression for its permanent is given by the only permutation $(23) \in \mathcal{S}_4$. Thus by Definition 1.3, $rk_t(A) = 4$.

2. Let us consider the matrix

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & t^4 & t^4 & t^4 & t^4 \\ -1 & -1 & t^2 & t^4 & t & t^4 \\ t^4 & t^4 & 1 - t^2 & 1 & -t^4 & -t^4 \\ t^2 & t^4 & -1 - t & -1 & t^2 & -t^4 \\ -t^4 & -t^4 & -t^4 & -t^4 & -1 - t^2 & 1 \\ -t^2 & -t^4 & t & -t^4 & 1 - t & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{K}^{6 \times 6},$$

which is a lift of A . The sum of the rows of M_0 is the zero row, so that the rank of M_0 is at most 5. Thus by Definition 1.4, $rk_K(A) \leq 5$.

Now let $H \in \mathbf{K}^{6 \times 6}$ be an arbitrary lift of A . It follows directly from definitions that $\deg(ab) = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$, $\deg(a + b) \geq \min\{\deg(a), \deg(b)\}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbf{K}$. Since $\deg(h_{pq}) = a_{pq}$ for any p, q , we obtain the following expression for the minor H_{25} :

$$H_{25} = h_{12}h_{34}h_{41}h_{56}h_{63} + h_{12}h_{33}h_{44}h_{56}h_{61} - h_{12}h_{34}h_{43}h_{56}h_{61} + g_1,$$

where $\deg(g_1) \geq 4$. Analogously, the minor H_{61} can be expressed as

$$H_{61} = h_{12}h_{25}h_{33}h_{44}h_{56} - h_{12}h_{25}h_{34}h_{43}h_{56} + g_2, \quad \deg(g_2) \geq 4.$$

We denote $\Delta = h_{33}h_{44} - h_{34}h_{43}$, $\delta = \deg(\Delta)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{25} &= h_{12}h_{34}h_{41}h_{56}h_{63} + h_{12}\Delta h_{56}h_{61} + g_1, \quad \deg(h_{12}h_{34}h_{41}h_{56}h_{63}) = 3, \\ &\quad \deg(h_{12}\Delta h_{56}h_{61}) = 2 + \delta; \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$H_{61} = h_{12}h_{25}\Delta h_{56} + g_2, \deg(h_{12}h_{25}\Delta h_{56}) = 1 + \delta. \quad (2.2)$$

It follows from definitions that $\deg(v_1 + v_2) = \min\{\deg(v_1), \deg(v_2)\}$ for any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $\deg(v_1) \neq \deg(v_2)$. Thus if $\delta > 1$, then from (2.1) it follows that $\deg(H_{25}) = 3$, i.e. $H_{25} \neq 0$. Analogously, if $\delta < 1$, then $\deg(H_{25}) = 2 + \delta$, i.e. $H_{25} \neq 0$. Finally, if $\delta = 1$, then from (2.2) it follows that $\deg(H_{61}) = 2$, i.e. $H_{61} \neq 0$. We see that some of the minors H_{25} and H_{61} differs from 0. This shows that the rank of H is at least 5. By Definition 1.4, $rk_K(A) \geq 5$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *The matrix A from Example 2.1 contains the least number of rows and the least number of columns among tropical matrices M such that $rk_K(M) \neq rk_t(M)$.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 1.6 and Example 2.1. $\square$

3 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my scientific advisor Professor Alexander E. Guterman for constant attention to my work and fruitful discussions.

Bibliography

- [1] M. Akian, S. Gaubert, A. Guterman. Linear independence over tropical semirings and beyond // Contemporary Mathematics, AMS, **495**(2009), 1–38.
- [2] J. E. Blackburn, H. H. Crapo, and D. A. Higgs. A catalogue of combinatorial geometries // Mathematics of Computation, **27**(121)(1973), 155–166.
- [3] M. Chan, A. N. Jensen, E. Rubei. The 4x4 minors of a 5xn matrix are a tropical basis // arXiv:0912.5264v1 [math.CO].
- [4] M. Develin, F. Santos, B. Sturmfels. On the rank of a tropical matrix, in Discrete and Computational Geometry (E. Goodman, J. Pach and E. Welzl, eds.), MSRI Publications, Cambridge Univ., Press, 2005.
- [5] K. H. Kim, N. F. Roush. Kapranov rank vs. tropical rank // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134**(9)(2006), 2487–2494.

FACULTY OF ALGEBRA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, GSP-1, 119991 MOSCOW, RUSSIA.

E-mail: yaroslav-shitov@yandex.ru